

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,234	02/27/2004	In-Kwang Yu	6192.0359.US	4801
23345 7	590 11/25/2005		EXAMINER	
MCGUIREWOODS, LLP		CHEN, WEN YING PATTY		
1750 TYSONS	BLVD			
<b>SUITE 1800</b>			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, VA	A 22102		2871	

DATE MAILED: 11/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<b>N</b>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/787,234	YU, IN-KWANG	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Wen-Ying P. Chen	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be town the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pr		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9,11-13 and 16-21 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9,11-13 and 16-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2004 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	re: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [ 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2871

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

Applicant's Amendment filed Sept. 7, 2005 has been received and entered. Claims 10, 14 and 15 are cancelled per the Amendment and claim 21 is newly added. Therefore, claims 1-9, 11-13 and 16-21 are pending in the current application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa et al. (US 6414741) in view of Sekiguchi (US 2004/0046909).

With respect to claims 1 and 12-13 (Amended): Hasegawa et al. disclose a system and method of manufacturing a liquid crystal display comprising:

a panel manufacturing unit for manufacturing a liquid crystal panel assembly including a thin film transistor (TFT) (Fig. 1, element 12) and a liquid crystal layer interposed between the TFT array panel and the opposing array panel (Column 4, lines 22-27);

a printed circuit film bonding unit (Fig. 1, element 22) for bonding a printed circuit film on the panel assembly (Column 4, lines 22-42); and

an inspection unit (Fig. 9, element 104) for inspecting the bonding of the printed circuit film on the panel assembly, wherein the bonding inspection unit comprises two sub-units for inspection before and after the bonding of the PCB, respectively (Column 6, lines 56-67, Column 7, lines 1-67, Column 8, lines 1-62 and Column 12, lines 6-11; wherein the dummy lead wires

Art Unit: 2871

and the aligning marks are provided for inspection of the bonding of the PCB, before and after bonding).

However, Hasegawa et al. fail to specifically disclose that the opposing array substrate is of a color filter array panel and that the wire board is specifically a printed circuit board (PCB).

Sekiguchi, on the other hand, discloses a liquid crystal display panel comprising a color filter array panel (Paragraph 0137). Furthermore, Sekiguchi discloses the use of a printed circuit board (PCB), which is also a wiring board, bonding unit for bonding a PCB to the printed circuit film (Paragraph 0144).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to manufacture a liquid crystal panel with a color filter array panel and bonding the printed circuit film to a printed circuit board as taught by Sekiguchi with the system and method of manufacturing of the liquid crystal panel taught by Hasegawa et al., since Sekiguchi teaches that the use of PCB enables the application of signals to the driving ICs of the display panel having the same function as the wiring board (Paragraph 0144) and that the color filter array panel provides coloring to the display panel.

As to claim 2: Hasegawa et al. further disclose that the printed circuit film comprises a tape carrier package (Column 4, line 38).

As to claim 3: Hasegawa et al. further disclose that the inspection unit comprises a CCD camera (Fig. 9, element 104).

As to claims 4-6: Hasegawa et al. further disclose that the printed circuit film bonding unit bonds the printed circuit film on the panel assembly with an anisotropic conductive film

Art Unit: 2871

(ACF) by compression (Column 4, lines 48-52), wherein the ACF comprises an adhesive containing a plurality of conductive particles (Column 7, line 63).

As to claim 7: Hasegawa et al. further disclose that the inspection unit detects dents generated by the compression (Column 7, lines 56-67; wherein the shape of the conductive particles post compression along the substrate face is observed).

As to claim 8: Hasegawa et al. further disclose that the inspection unit detects alignment of the printed circuit film with the panel assembly (Column 6, lines 56-67).

As to claim 9: Hasegawa et al. further disclose that the bonding inspection unit is incorporated into the printed circuit film bonding unit (Column 6, lines 56-67; Fig. 7, elements 114 and 117; wherein the inspection unit comprises of the dummy lead wires and the branch wires).

As to claim 11: Hasegawa et al. further disclose that the bonding inspection unit wherein one of the sub-units of the bonding inspection unit is incorporated into the printed circuit film bonding unit and the other of the sub-units of the bonding inspection unit is incorporated into the wiring board bonding unit (Column 6, lines 56-67 and Column 8, lines 45-54; wherein the dummy lead wires are incorporated into the printed circuit film bonding unit for before bonding of the wire board inspection and the aligning marks are incorporated into the wire board bonding unit for post bonding of the wire board inspection).

As to claim 16: Hasegawa et al. further disclose that the printed circuit film comprises a tape carrier package (Column 4, line 38).

As to claim 17: Hasegawa et al. disclose that the inspection unit comprises a CCD camera (Fig. 9, element 104).

Art Unit: 2871

As to claim 18: Hasegawa et al. further disclose that the printed circuit film bonding unit bonds the printed circuit film on the panel assembly with an anisotropic conductive film (ACF) (Column 4, lines 48-52) containing a plurality of conductive particles (Column 7, line 63).

As to claims 19 and 20: Hasegawa et al. further disclose that the bonding of the printed circuit film is performed by thermo compression (Column 7, lines 43-49), and that the inspection detects dents generated by the thermo compression (Column 7, lines 56-67; wherein the shape of the conductive particles post compression along the substrate face is observed).

As to claim 21 (New): Hasegawa et al. disclose a system of manufacturing a liquid crystal display comprising:

a panel manufacturing unit for manufacturing a liquid crystal panel assembly including a thin film transistor (TFT) (Fig. 1, element 12) and a liquid crystal layer interposed between the TFT array panel and the opposing array panel (Column 4, lines 22-27);

a printed circuit film bonding unit (Fig. 1, element 22) for bonding a printed circuit film on the panel assembly (Column 4, lines 22-42); and

an inspection unit (Fig. 9, element 104) for inspecting the strength of bonding of the printed circuit film on the panel assembly, wherein the bonding inspection unit comprises two sub-units for inspection before and after the bonding of the PCB, respectively (Column 6, lines 56-67, Column 7, lines 1-67, Column 8, lines 1-62 and Column 12, lines 6-11; wherein the dummy lead wires and the aligning marks are provided for inspection of the bonding of the PCB, before and after bonding).

However, Hasegawa et al. fail to specifically disclose that the opposing array substrate is of a color filter array panel and that the wire board is specifically a printed circuit board (PCB).

Sekiguchi, on the other hand, discloses a liquid crystal display panel comprising a color filter array panel (Paragraph 0137). Furthermore, Sekiguchi discloses the use of a printed circuit board (PCB), which is also a wiring board, bonding unit for bonding a PCB to the printed circuit film (Paragraph 0144).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to manufacture a liquid crystal panel with a color filter array panel and bonding the printed circuit film to a printed circuit board as taught by Sekiguchi with the system and method of manufacturing of the liquid crystal panel taught by Hasegawa et al., since Sekiguchi teaches that the use of PCB enables the application of signals to the driving ICs of the display panel having the same function as the wiring board (Paragraph 0144) and that the color filter array panel provides coloring to the display panel.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed Sept. 7, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 1 and 12, Applicant argues that there is no motivation for replacing the wiring board disclosed by Hasegawa with the PCB disclosed by Sekiguchi and that the wiring board and the PCB perform the same function (see Page 10 of Arguments). However, a PCB is the same as a wiring board, and the examiner merely cites the PCB as disclose by Sekiguchi so as to meet the claim language. The PCB disclosed by Sekiguchi is not to replace the wiring board as disclosed by Hasegawa, since both have the same functions, and therefore are equivalent.

Regarding claim 10, Applicant argues that Hasegawa fails to teach inspecting the bonding of the printed circuit film on the panel assembly after the bonding of the PCB. However, in Column 12 lines 6-11, Hasegawa disclosed that an alignment inspection is performed after bonding of the wiring board by using the alignment marks.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wen-Ying P. Chen whose telephone number is (571)272-8444. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on (571)272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,234 Page 8

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wen-Ying P Chen Examiner Art Unit 2871

WPC 11/21/05

> NOREW SCHECHTER PRIMARY EXAMINER